

## Describing Cetacean Habitat in Australian Waters

Cara MILLER<sup>1,2)</sup> and Nardi CRIBB<sup>1)</sup>

**Abstract:** An understanding of habitat has been acknowledged as a priority for cetacean science in Australian waters. Global reviews suggest that the definition of cetacean habitat should be relatively broad and include multiple variables and factors, yet also tailored to both species and region. It has also been noted that variables and factors need to be measured at a scale that matches the appropriate process, phenomena, pattern and scale at which the given variables operate. In Australia, cetacean habitat research to date has focussed primarily on small coastal species, covers a restricted geographic range, and includes a limited number of factors such as water depth, sea surface temperature and migratory pathways. Specific habitat-related information is available for less than a quarter of the known Australian cetacean species. The investigation of cetacean habitat in Australian waters should be undertaken in a broad and quantitative manner as effective habitat protection and associated threat mitigation serves as key and timely tools in cetacean conservation efforts.

**Keywords:** *Australia, cetacean, habitat, environmental variables*

### 1. Introduction

Describing and understanding habitat is consistently noted as a necessary and meaningful component of cetacean science (BANNISTER *et al.* 1996, REEVES *et al.* 2003, HOYT 2005). Cetacean habitat is often defined in terms of critical behaviours such as breeding, foraging and migration yet is sometimes expanded to include those parts of the distributional range that are important for day-to-day survival and reproductive fitness (HARWOOD 2001, HOYT 2005). Habitat of cetaceans is typically described by measurement of environmental, spatial, and temporal variables as well as those factors that may influence distribution in the immediate marine environment of a given species. A review of cetacean studies revealed that some of the common variables used to describe cetacean habitat include water temperature, salinity,

dissolved oxygen, turbidity, habitat type, water depth, distance from shore, and topography (GASKIN 1968, KENNEY 1990, BALLANCE 1992, BAUMGARTNER 1997, WILSON *et al.* 1997, ALLEN *et al.* 2001, HASTIE *et al.* 2002, INGRAM and ROGAN 2002, JAQUET and GENDRON 2002, BRAGER *et al.* 2003, MILLER 2003, TYNAN *et al.* 2005, CRIBB 2006, PARRA *et al.* 2006, AZEVEDO *et al.* 2007). From this review it was also evident that cetacean habitat studies differ in the given combinations of variables measured, the scales and methods of quantification, and the analytical techniques and inferences invoked. These differences are in part due to the various ways in which cetacean habitat is measured, geographic region, research technique, time frame, objectives and intended application of the given study, and determination of what constitutes habitat for a given cetacean species (HARWOOD 2001, HOYT 2005, REDFERN *et al.* 2006).

In a comprehensive review of Australian cetaceans, BANNISTER *et al.* (1996) listed general habitat characteristics (water depth, water temperature, latitude, and prey type) for forty-three cetacean species. However, more detailed information about many of these species was evidently lacking as the status of half of these

<sup>1)</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Flinders University, G.P.O. Box 2100, Adelaide 5001 S.A., Australia

<sup>2)</sup> Whale and Dolphin Conservation Society International, P.O. Box 720, Port Adelaide 5015 S.A., Australia

species was classified as 'No Category Assigned due to insufficient information' (Table 1) (BANNISTER *et al.* 1996). This particular classification may be due to lack of information in regard to population, habitat or perhaps both. A more recent national report focusing only on smaller whales and dolphins (ROSS 2005) provided similar results. More specifically, most species (26 out of 33) did not have a change in conservation status from that designated in BANNISTER *et al.* (1996) (Table 1). Conservation status designations and habitat types for Australian cetaceans are also contained in the IUCN listings ([www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org) accessed in July 2008) yet again this information does not differ significantly from the findings of BANNISTER *et al.* (1996) and ROSS (2005) (Table 1).

BANNISTER *et al.* (1996) emphasized that effective cetacean conservation in Australian waters is intrinsically related to protection of appropriate habitat and associated ecosystems, and that the identification of key habitats was an important component of this objective. Given these noted priorities this current paper briefly investigates how cetacean habitat has been described, quantified and applied in terms of conservation and management in Australian waters.

## 2. Methods

Studies relating to cetacean habitat in Australian waters were collated from published and unpublished reports, database searches and direct approaches to researchers. Each study was examined on an individual basis to determine how habitat had been defined and quantified. Two criteria were used to determine whether a given study was subsequently included in our results section. Firstly, the given study had to include a variable that had a potential effect on description of habitat. This premise meant that any environmental, biological, physical, chemical, spatial or temporal factor related to habitat could potentially be considered as a habitat variable. In addition, factors that drove movement were also listed here due to their inherent influence on distribution and consequent habitat used by cetaceans. Examples of factors influencing movement

included migration pathways, avoidance of areas of high boat traffic and attraction to areas of increased feeding opportunity. Secondly, when a study was deemed to include a habitat-related variable it was then confirmed whether the variable had been directly quantified during the course of the study. A variable was considered quantified if it was directly measured via in-situ field measurements (e.g., water temperature, salinity and turbidity), referenced from in-field GPS locations via remote sensing or detailed reference maps (e.g., distance from shore, chlorophyll *a* concentration and water depth), classified via a pre-determined criteria (e.g., distinct habitat types such as seagrass or bare sand substrate), or counted in a consistent manner (e.g., number of boats in a given area over a specific time frame).

The above criteria precluded inclusion of studies that referred only to location or population bounds rather than a habitat related component of these factors. However, special consideration was given to studies in which feeding, breeding, migration and calving were observed as these behaviours could potentially be correlated with a given habitat, and therefore deemed important drivers of spatial and temporal distribution. In some instances it was found that although variables were measured according to study design no analysis of these variables was reported. These studies were therefore excluded. Numerous studies discussed the implications of their findings in relation to habitat, yet if these factors were not specifically measured during the study they were omitted also. Each study was considered on an individual basis yet it is possible there are omissions due to interpretation as well as difficulty in sourcing all relevant studies. Hence, this paper is not presented as an exhaustive listing of cetacean habitat studies in Australia but rather a discussion and analysis of how habitat has been defined and quantified in this region. Selected studies were tabulated and categorized according to species studied, location of study, and habitat variables measured.

Table 1. Conservation status of cetacean species found in Australian waters

Species	IUCN (2006)	Bannister et al. (1996)	Ross (2005)
<i>Eubalaena australis</i>	LR/cd	V	
<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>	LR/nt	NCA (a)	
<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata bonaerensis</i>		S	
<i>Balaenoptera borealis</i>	EN	V	
<i>Balaenoptera edeni</i>	DD	NCA (a)	
<i>Balaenoptera musculus</i>	EN	EN	
<i>Balaenoptera musculus breviceauda</i>		NCA (a)	
<i>Balaenoptera physalus</i>	EN	V	
<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>	VU	V	
<i>Caperea marginata</i>	LR/lc	NCA (b)	
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	LR/lc	NCA (b)	*
<i>Globicephala macrorhynchus</i>	LR/cd	NCA (b)	*
<i>Globicephala melas</i>	LR/lc	NCA (b)	*
<i>Grampus griseus</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Lagenodelphis hosei</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Lagenorhynchus cruciger</i>	LR/lc	NCA (b)	*
<i>Lagenorhynchus obscurus</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Lissodelphis peronii</i>	DD	NCA (b)	NCA (a)
<i>Orcaella heinsohni</i>			
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	LR/cd	NCA (c)	NCA (b)
<i>Peponocephala electra</i>	LR	NCA (a)	NCA (b)
<i>Pseudorca crassidens</i>	LR/lc	NCA (a)	NCA (b)
<i>Sousa chinensis</i>	DD	K	*
<i>Stenella attenuata</i>	LR/cd	NCA (a)	*
<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	LR	NCA (a)	*
<i>Stenella longirostris</i>	LR	K	*
<i>Steno bredanensis</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Tursiops aduncus</i>	DD		NCA (a)
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	DD	NCA (a)	NCA (b)
<i>Phocoena dioptrica</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Kogia breviceps</i>	LR/lc	NCA (a)	NCA (b)
<i>Kogia sima</i>	LR/lc	NCA (a)	*
<i>Physeter macrocephalus</i>	VU	K	
<i>Berardius arnuxii</i>	LR/cd	NCA (b)	*
<i>Hyperoodon planifrons</i>	LR/cd	NCA (b)	*
<i>Indopacetus pacificus</i>		NCA (a)	
<i>Mesoplodon bowdoini</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Mesoplodon densirostris</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Mesoplodon ginkgodens</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Mesoplodon grayi</i>	DD	NCA (b)	*
<i>Mesoplodon hectori</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Mesoplodon layardii</i>	DD	NCA (b)	*
<i>Mesoplodon mirus</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Tasmacetus shepherdii</i>	DD	NCA (a)	*
<i>Ziphius cavirostris</i>	DD	NCA (b)	*

\* Conservation status is the same as Bannister *et al.* (1996)

IUCN categories : Extinct *EX*, Near Threatened *NT*, Extinct in the Wild, *EW*, Least Concern *LC*, Critically Endangered *CR*,, Data Deficient *DD*, Endangered *EN*, Not Evaluated *NE*, Vulnerable *VU*

Bannister *et al.* (1996) and Ross (2005) conservation status categories : Endangered *EN*, vulnerable *V*, insufficiently known *K*, No category assigned because of insufficient information *NCA (a)* , No category assigned, but possibly secure *NCA (b)* , No category assigned, but probably secure *NCA (c)*

### 3. Results

Twenty-four cetacean habitat studies were further analyzed for their method of habitat description (Table 2). Most of these studies were reported from Western Australian and Queensland waters, with bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.) being the most frequently studied species. In total, research involving nine different species were noted to have incorporated quantitatively defined habitat variables, specifically: southern right whale (*Eubalaena australis*), sei whale (*Balaenoptera borealis*), blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*), fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*), humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin (*Sousa chinensis*), common bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*), Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops aduncus*) and the Australian snubfin dolphin (*Orcaella heinsohni*). The habitat variables measured within these studies included: water depth, sea surface temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, pH, phytoplankton biomass, distance to land, distance to freshwater, Euclidean distance to habitat type, bathymetry and thermal fronts. In addition, factors deemed to drive distribution included human influences (the presence of tourism vessels, aquaculture and fisheries), migratory routes and calving areas, and the presence of both predators and prey. In several cases, additional analyses into home range, seasonal movement, residency, genetics and site fidelity were investigated concurrently.

### 4. Discussion

Despite strong recommendations from national reviews (BANNISTER *et al.* 1996, ROSS 2005) cetacean habitat has only been considered on a relatively limited basis within cetacean research projects conducted in Australian waters. A greater understanding of cetacean distribution, behaviour and migration patterns' in the context of their habitat and environment would not only provide insight into the ecology and life history of a given species, but would also enable more effective ecosystem protection and mitigation of potential threats. This current lack of information presents an obstacle towards cetacean conservation efforts in

Australia.

However, some exceptions are apparent. In far north Queensland environmental correlates (distance to land, distance to river mouth, and water depth) were examined in relation to the spatial distribution of Australian snubfin (*Orcaella heinsohni*) and Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins (*Sousa chinensis*) (PARRA *et al.* 2006). Findings from this work indicated that both of these species demonstrated a preference for near-shore, estuarine waters. In discussion the authors noted that these same areas were subject to potentially threatening fishing nets and therefore suggested regulation of such activities in those near-shore, estuarine waters that had been identified as important cetacean habitat. In Shark Bay, Western Australia, behavioural studies on Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops* sp.) populations documented reactions such as changes in spatial positioning within groups and irregular swimming behaviour in response to boat traffic (BEJDER *et al.* 2006a). Although the level of boat traffic could not be correlated with the relative occurrence or absence of a response, a complementary study inferred that the cumulative impact of boat traffic over the longer-term could be linked to changes in overall population numbers (BEJDER *et al.* 2006b) and therefore a change in habitat used by these impacted individuals. In response to these findings, the scientific committee of the International Whaling Commission recommended protection of these populations (IWC 2006). Consequently the Western Australian government reduced the number of tourism licenses operating in proximity to this population of bottlenose dolphins (IWC 2007).

The quantification of appropriate factors and variables to describe cetacean habitat presents unique challenges as it necessarily requires the measurement of factors such as changing prey fields, modulating species assemblages, and dynamic marine ecosystems. These oceanographic processes and properties can vary on distinctive spatial and temporal scales, and may be intermittently independent or correlated with one another (RICKLEFS 1993, GARRISON 1998, REDFERN *et al.* 2006). Additional variables such as boat traffic and

Table 2. Examples from Australian cetacean studies of quantified environmental variables and factors measured in relation to species' distribution.

Common name	Location	Environmental habitat variables	Reference
Common bottlenose dolphin, Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin	Moreton Bay, QLD	Water depth, distance from shore	CORKERON (1990)
Irrawaddy dolphin	QLD coast	Distance to land, freshwater	PARRA <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Humpback whale	Hervey Bay and Whitsundays, QLD	Sea surface temperature, depth, GPS location, calving areas, migrational routes	FORESTELL <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Snubfin dolphin, Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin	Cleveland Bay, QLD	Sea surface temperature, location to habitat type, bathymetry	PARRA (2005)
Bottlenose dolphin	Port Adelaide, SA	Water depth, water temperature, total dissolved solids, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, pH, distribution according to habitat type	CRIBB (2006)
Snubfin dolphin, Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin	Cleveland Bay, QLD	Water depth, Euclidean distance to habitat type (reef, seagrass, dredged channel)	PARRA (2006)
Snubfin dolphin, Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin	Cleveland Bay, QLD	Distance to land, river mouths, water depth	PARRA <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Bottlenose dolphin	Bunbury, WA	Water depth, temperature, turbidity/visibility, presence of vessels, home ranges, seasonal movement, residency, site fidelity, demography	SMITH (pers. com.)
Southern right whale	Doubtful Island Bay, WA ; Warrnambool, VIC ; Head of Bight, SA	Distance from shore, position long-shore, depth, slope, substrate, breeding status, group type, behaviour, exposure, sinuosity, substrate, tidal range	PIRZL (pers. com.)
Pygmy blue whale	Australian calving grounds Bonney Upwelling, SA	Coastal upwelling, krill presence	GILL (2002)
Common Name	Location	Variable defining distribution	Reference
Bottlenose dolphin	Moreton Bay, QLD	Presence of predators	CORKERON <i>et al.</i> (1987)
Humpback whale	Stradbroke Island, QLD	Migrational routes	PATERSON (1991)
Bottlenose dolphin	Tangalooma, QLD	Presence of feeding station	ORAMS (1995)
Various species	Shark Bay, Ningaloo Reef and Exmouth Gulf, WA	Sea surface temperature, abundance and distribution	PREEN <i>et al.</i> (1997)
Bottlenose dolphin	Shark Bay, WA	Reproductive success, water depth, temperature	MANN <i>et al.</i> (2000)
Humpback whale	WA coast	Temporal and geographic movements according to migration	JENNER <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Bottlenose dolphin	Shark Bay, WA	Prey abundance, shark presence	HEITHAUS and DILL (2002, 2006)
Humpback whale, Southern right whale, blue whale, fin whale, sei whale	National	Aggregation areas for calving, resting, feeding, migratory pathways	DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE (2005 a,b,c)
Bottlenose dolphin	Shark Bay, WA	Aquaculture presence	WATSON-CAPPS and MANN (2005)
Bottlenose dolphin	Shark Bay, WA	Vessel effect on abundance	BEJDER <i>et al.</i> (2006b)
Bottlenose dolphin	Shark Bay, WA	Use of habitat in relation to vessel exposure	BEJDER <i>et al.</i> (2006a)

Note: Irrawaddy dolphin listed by PARRA *et al.* (2002) would now be described as a snubfin dolphin (BEASLEY *et al.* 2005)

construction may also have an impact on cetacean distribution, as do individual factors such as species, age-class, geographic location and conservation status. Given this complexity and flexibility it is appropriate that the definition and measurement of cetacean habitat be considered in an open and objective way and on a case-by-case basis to ensure all necessary factors have been accounted for. However, it is also imperative that investigations into cetacean habitat be quantified in such a manner as to allow appropriate inferences and management advice to be rendered if required.

The importance of habitat has been recognized by conservation initiatives that strive to progress protection mechanisms for cetaceans, their habitats and associated ecosystems (HOYT 2005, SOUTH AUSTRALIAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE 2006). Furthermore, there is global scientific support for recommendations regarding threat mitigation in instances of incomplete species understanding (REEVES *et al.* 2003, CMS 2006). Given these noted concerns it is necessary to place priority on quantifying habitat in cetacean research studies. It is therefore timely and necessary that in Australian waters useful investigations into cetacean habitat are prioritized, and in instances of limited baseline knowledge a risk-averse approach to habitat protection and threat mitigation is progressed.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank M. BOSSLEY, P. BRAKES, W. FERRELL, E. HOYT and M. SIMMONDS for providing comment on an earlier draft of this paper.

### References

- ALLEN, M. C., A.J. READ and J. GAUDET (2001) : Fine-scale habitat selection of foraging bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* near Clearwater, Florida, *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.*, **222**, 253–264.
- AZEVEDO, A. F., A.M. OLIVEIRA, S.C. VIANA and M., VAN SLUYS (2007) : Habitat use by marine tucuxis (*Sotalia guianensis*) (Cetacea: Delphinidae) in Guanabara Bay, south-eastern Brazil, *J. Marine Biol Assoc UK.*, **87**, 201–205.
- BALLANCE, L. T. (1992) : Habitat use patterns and ranges of the bottlenose dolphin in the Gulf of California, Mexico, *Mar Mamm Sci.*, **8**, 262–274.
- BANNISTER, J. L., C.M. KEMPER and R.M. WARNEKE (1996) : The Action Plan for Australian Cetaceans, Australian Nature Conservation Agency, Canberra, Australia.
- BAUMGARTNER, M. F. (1997) : The distribution of Risso's dolphin (*Grampus griseus*) with respect to the physiography of the northern gulf of Mexico, *Mar Mamm Sci.*, **13**, 614–638.
- BEASLEY, I., K.M. ROBERTSON, and P. ARNOLD (2005) : Description of a new dolphin, the Australian snubfin dolphin *Orcaella heinsohni* Sp. N. (Cetacea, Delphinidae), *Mar Mamm Sci.*, **21**, 365–400.
- BEJDER, L., A. SAMUELS, J. MANN, H. WHITEHEAD, N. GALES, R. CONNOR, M. HEITHAUS, J. WATSON-CAPPS, C. FLAHERTY and M. KRUTZEN (2006b) : Decline in relative abundance of bottlenose dolphins exposed to long-term disturbance, *Cons Biol.*, **20**, 1791–1798.
- BEJDER, L., A. SAMUELS, H. WHITEHEAD and N. GALES (2006a) : Interpreting short-term behavioural responses to disturbance within a longitudinal perspective, *Animal Beh.*, **72**, 1149–1158.
- BRAGER, S., J.A. HARRAWAY and B.F.J. MANLY (2003) : Habitat selection in a coastal dolphin species (*Cephalorhynchus hectori*), *Mar Biol.*, **143**, 233–244.
- CONVENTION ON THE CONSERVATION OF MIGRATORY SPECIES OF WILD ANIMALS (CMS) (2006) : CMS Memorandum of Understanding for the Conservation of Cetaceans and their Habitats in the Pacific Island Region, Noumea, New Caledonia.
- CORKERON, P. J. (1990) : Aspects of the behavioural ecology of inshore dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* and *Sousa chinensis* in Moreton Bay, Australia. Pp 245–265 *in*: The Bottlenose Dolphin ed by S. Leatherwood and R. R. REEVES Academic Press, San Diego, California.
- CORKERON, P. J., R.J. MORRIS, and M.M. BRYDEN (1987) : Interactions between bottlenose dolphins and sharks in Moreton Bay, Queensland, *Aquat Mammal.*, **13**, 109–113.
- CRIBB, N. P. (2006) : Density estimates and habitat characterizations of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops aduncus*) in the Adelaide Dolphin Sanctuary, South Australia, Honour's Thesis, Flinders University, Adelaide.
- DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE (2005a) : Blue, fin and sei recovery plan 2005–2010, Australian Government, 11p. [available at <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/publications/recovery/balaenoptera-sp/pubs/balaenoptera-sp.pdf>]
- DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE (2005b) : Humpback whale recovery plan 2005–2010, Australian Government, 11p. [available at <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/>]

- threatened/publications/recovery/m-novaeangliae/pubs/m-novaeangliae.pdf]
- DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE (2005c) : Southern right whale recovery plan 2005–2010, Australian Government, 11p. [available at <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/publications/recovery/e-australis/pubs/e-australis.pdf>]
- FORESTALL, P. H., G.D. KAUFMAN and M. CHALOUPKA (2003) : Migratory Characteristics of Humpback Whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) in Hervey Bay and the Whitsunday Islands, Queensland, Australia: 1993–1999, Final Report to Environmental Protection Agency, Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service, Brisbane, Australia, 201p.
- GARRISON, T. (1998) : Oceanography: an invitation to marine science 3rd Edition, Wadsworth Publishing Company, USA.
- GASKIN, D.E. (1968) : Distribution of Delphinidae (Cetacea) in relation to sea surface temperatures off eastern and southern New Zealand, NZ. J. Mar and Freshw Res., **2**, 527–534.
- GILL, P. (2002) : A blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*) feeding ground in a southern Australian coastal upwelling zone, J. Cet Res and Manage., **4**, 179–184.
- HARWOOD, J. (2001) : Marine mammals and their environment in the twenty-first century, J. Mamm., **82**, 630–640.
- HASTIE, G., B. WILSON and P.M. THOMPSON (2002) : Fine-scale habitat selection by coastal bottlenose dolphins: application of a new land-based video-montage technique, Can. J. of Zool., **81**, 469–478.
- HEITHAUS, M. R. and M.D. LAWRENCE (2002) : Food availability and tiger shark predation risk influence bottlenose dolphin habitat use, Ecology, **8**, 480–491.
- HEITHAUS, M. R. and M.D. LAWRENCE (2006) : Does tiger shark predation risk influence foraging habitat use by bottlenose dolphins at multiple spatial scales? OIKOS **114**, 257–264.
- HOYT, E. (2005) : Marine Protected Areas for Whales, Dolphins and Porpoises: A worldwide handbook for cetacean habitat conservation, Earthscan, London, 516pp.
- INGRAM, S. I. and E. ROGAN (2002) : Identifying critical areas and habitat preferences of bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus*, Mar Ecol Prog Ser., **244**, 247–255.
- INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE CONSERVATION OF NATURE (IUCN) (2006) : The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (accessed July 2007). [Available at <http://www.redlist.org/>]
- INTERNATIONAL WHALING COMMISSION (IWC) (2006) : Int. Whal. Comm. Sci Comm Rep.
- INTERNATIONAL WHALING COMMISSION (IWC) (2007) : Int. Whal. Comm. Sci Comm Rep.
- JAQUET, N. and D. GENDRON (2002) : Distribution and relative abundance of sperm whales in relation to key environmental features, squid landings and the distribution of other cetacean species in the Gulf of California, Mexico, Mar Biol., **141**, 591–601.
- JENNER, K. C. S, M.N.M. JENNER, and K.A. McCABE (2001) : Geographical and temporal movements of humpback whales in Western Australian waters, AAPEA, 749–765.
- KENNEY, R. D. (1990) : Bottlenose dolphins off the north-eastern United States. Pp 245–265 in: The Bottlenose Dolphin ed. by S. Leatherwood and R. R. REEVES Academic Press, San Diego, California.
- MANN, J., R.C. CONNOR, BARRE, L. M. and M.R. HEITHAUS (2000) : Female reproductive success in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.): life history, habitat, provisioning and group-size effects, Behav Ecol., **11**, 210–219.
- MILLER, C. (2003) : Trends in habitat characterization and abundance in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the Barataria Bay system, Louisiana, PhD Dissertation. Louisiana State University.
- ORAMS, M. B. (1995) : Development and management of a feeding program for wild bottlenose dolphins at Tangalooma, Australia, Aquat Mammal., **21**, 137–147.
- PARRA, G. J., C. AZUMA, A.R. PREEN, P.J. CORKERON and H. MARSH (2002) : Distribution of Irrawaddy dolphins, *Orcaella brevirostris*, in Australian waters, The Raffles Bull of Zool., **10**, 141–154.
- PARRA, G. J. (2005) : Behavioural ecology of Irrawaddy, *Orcaella brevirostris* (Owen in Gary, 1866), and Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins, *Sousa chinensis* (Osbeck, 1765), in northeast Queensland, Australia: a comparative study, PhD Thesis, James Cook University, QLD.
- PARRA, G. J. (2006) : Resource partitioning in sympatric delphinids: space use and habitat preferences of Australian snubfin and Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins, J. Animal Ecol., **75**, 862–874.
- PARRA, G. J., R. SCHICK, P.J. CORKERON (2006) : Spatial distribution and environmental correlates of Australian snubfin and Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins, Ecography, **29**, 396–406.
- PATERSON, R.A. (1991) : The migration of humpback whales *Megaptera novaeangliae* in east Australian waters. Mem of the QLD Museum, **30**, 333–341.
- PIRZL, R. Pers. Com., Deakin University, Australia.
- PREEN, A. R., MARSH, H., LAWLER, I. R., PRINCE, R. I. T. and SHEPHERD, R. (1997) : Distribution and abundance of dugongs and other megafauna in

- Shark Bay, Ningaloo Reef and Exmouth Gulf, Western Australia, *Wild Res.*, **24**, 185–208.
- REDFERN, J. V., M.C. FERGUSON, E.A. BECKER, K.D. HYRENBACK, C. GOOD, J. BARLOW, K. KASCHNER, M.F. BAUMGARTNER, K.A. FORNEY, L.T. BALLANCE, P. FAUCHALD, P. HALPIN, T. HAMAZAKI, A.J. PERSHING, S.S. QIAN, A. READ, S. B. REILLY, L. TORRES, F. and Werner (2006) : Techniques for cetacean–habitat modeling, *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.*, **310**, 271–295.
- REEVES, R. R., B.D. SMITH, E.A. CRESPO and G. NOTABARTOLO DI SCIARA (compilers) (2003) : Dolphins, whales and Porpoises: 2002–2010 Conservation Action Plan for the World’s Cetaceans. IUCN/SSC Cetacean Specialist Group, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. xi + 139pp.
- RICKEFS, R. E. (1993) : The economy of nature: a textbook in basic ecology, W. H. Freeman and Company, USA.
- ROSS, G. J. B. (2006) : Review of the conservation status of Australia’s smaller whales and dolphins. Aust. Gov., 124p.
- SMITH, H. Pers. Com., Murdoch University, Australia.
- SOUTH AUSTRALIAN ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE (2006) : *The Adelaide Dolphin Sanctuary*, <http://www.environment.sa.gov.au/coasts/ads/> [Visited on 15 Oct 2007].
- WATSON-CAPPS, J. J. and J. MANN (2005) : The effects of aquaculture on bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops* sp.) ranging in Shark Bay, Western Australia, *Biol Cons.*, **124**, 519–526.
- WILSON, B., P.M. THOMPSON and P.S. HAMMOND (1997) : Habitat use by bottlenose dolphins: seasonal distribution and stratified movement patterns in the Moray Firth, Scotland, *J. Applied Ecol.*, **34**, 1365–1374.

*Received: August 13, 2008*  
*Accepted: October 22, 2008*